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#### Abstract

In this paper, some sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of a secondorder nonlinear neutral differential equation with superlinear neutral term are obtained. By means of an inequality technique and an integral averaging method, some new oscillation criteria are presented which extend and complement those reported in the literature.


## 1 Introduction

Since neutral differential equations have wide applications in the fields of science, engineering, and technology, oscillation theory of such equations has attracted very great interest of mathematicians, and it has been studied extensively during the past few decades, see for example $[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8$, $9,10,11,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28]$ and the references cited therein.

From the review of literature, one can see that many results are available on the oscillation of second-order differential equations with linear neutral term, and very few results are available when

[^0]the neutral term is nonlinear, see $[1,6,7,19,22,24,25]$. However, from the above references, one can see that all oscillation theorems are for second-order differential equations with sublinear neutral term, and to the best of our knowledge, no oscillation results are available for second-order differential equations with superlinear neutral term. Motivated by this observation, in this paper, we are concerned with the oscillatory behavior of the second-order neutral differential equation
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a\left(x+p\left(x^{\alpha} \circ \tau\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(t)+q(t) f(x(\sigma(t)))=0, \quad t \geq t_{0}>0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

subject to the following conditions:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) a \in \mathrm{C}^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right), p, q \in \mathrm{C}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),[0, \infty)\right), q$ is not eventually zero on $\left[t_{*}, \infty\right)$ for $t_{*} \geq t_{0}$, and $p(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) f \in \mathrm{C}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and there exists $M>0$ such that $f(u) / u^{\beta} \geq M$ for all $u \neq 0$;
$\left(H_{3}\right) \alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta \in(0, \infty)$ are ratios of odd positive integers.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \tau, \sigma \in \mathrm{C}^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), \tau(t) \leq t, \sigma(t) \leq t, \tau^{\prime}(t)>0$, and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t)=\infty .
$$

By a solution of (1.1), we mean $x \in \mathrm{C}\left(\left[T_{x}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), T_{x} \geq t_{0}$, with $a\left(x+p\left(x^{\alpha} \circ \tau\right)\right)^{\prime} \in \mathrm{C}^{1}\left(\left[T_{x}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ and such that (1.1) is satisfied on $\left[T_{x}, \infty\right)$. We consider only these solutions $x$ of (1.1) which satisfy $\sup \{|x(t)|: t \geq T\}>0$ for all $T \geq T_{x}$, and we assume that (1.1) possesses such solutions. As usual, a solution of (1.1) is called oscillatory if it has zeros on $[T, \infty)$ for all $T \geq T_{x}$; otherwise it is called nonoscillatory. Equation (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

In $[1,7,22,24,25]$, the authors considered (1.1) with $0<\alpha \leq 1$ and $f(u)=u^{\beta}, \beta \in(0, \infty)$, and they established conditions for oscillation of all solutions in the cases

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{a(t)}=\infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{a(t)}<\infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, in this paper, we obtain conditions for oscillation of (1.1) under the conditions (1.2) or (1.3) and $\alpha \geq 1$. Hence, the results presented here generalize and complement some of the results reported in [1, 6, 7, 19, 22, 24, 25].

## 2 Oscillation Results

In this section, we obtain sufficient conditions for oscillation of all solutions of (1.1). Due to the form of our equation, we only need to give proofs for the case of positive nonoscillatory solutions since the proofs for negative solutions are similar. For any $T \geq t_{0}$ and for any real-valued positive function $\mu$ which is decreasing and tending to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
z(t)=x(t)+p(t) x^{\alpha}(\tau(t)), \quad R(t)=\int_{T}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{\bar{a}(s)}, \quad A(t)=\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{a(s)}, \\
Q_{1}(t)=\frac{1-\frac{1}{\alpha \rho^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right)}\left(\frac{R\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right)}{R\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)}+\frac{\alpha-1}{\left.\mu\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right)}\right)}{p\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)}>0, \\
Q_{2}(t)=\frac{1-\frac{1}{\alpha p^{\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right)}}\left(1+\frac{\alpha-1}{A^{2}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)}\right)}{p\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)}>0
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $t \geq T$, where $\tau^{-1}$ is the inverse function of $\tau$. Note that the last two conditions imply that $p(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

We begin with the following auxiliary result, which can be found in [12, Theorem 41, p. 39].
Lemma 2.1. If $a>0$ and $0<\gamma \leq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\gamma} \leq \gamma a+(1-\gamma) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. Assume (1.2). If $x$ is a positive solution of (1.1), then the corresponding $z$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
z>0, \quad z^{\prime}>0, \quad \text { and } \quad\left(a z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \leq 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

eventually.
Proof. The proof is similar to that of [28, Lemma 1], and hence the details are omitted.
Lemma 2.3. Let $x$ be a positive solution of (1.1) such that the corresponding $z$ satisfies (2.2). If there exists a positive function $\mu$ which is decreasing and tending zero, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\alpha}(t) \geq Q_{1}(t) z\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right), \quad t \geq T \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ and the definition of $z$, we have $z(t) \geq x(t)$ for all $t \geq t_{1} \geq t_{0}$. Again from the definition of $z$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\alpha}(t) & =\frac{1}{p\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)}\left(z\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)-x\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)}\left(z\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)-\frac{z^{1 / \alpha}\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right)}{p^{1 / \alpha}\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}\right)\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\frac{1}{\alpha} \leq 1$, using Lemma 2.1 in the last inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\alpha}(t) \geq \frac{z\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)-\frac{1}{p^{1 / \alpha}\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} z\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right)+\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}\right)}{p\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)=z\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{a(s) z^{\prime}(s)}{a(s)} \mathrm{d} s \geq R(t) a(t) z^{\prime}(t) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we deduce from (2.5) that for all $t \geq t_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{z}{R}\right)^{\prime}(t) \leq 0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.6) and the condition $\tau^{-1}(t) \leq \tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)$, we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\alpha}(t) \geq \frac{z\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)-\frac{1}{\alpha p^{1 / \alpha}\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right)}\left(\frac{R\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right)}{R\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)} z\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)+\alpha-1\right)}{p\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z$ is increasing and $\mu$ is decreasing and tending to zero, there exists $T \geq t_{1}$ such that $z(t) \geq \mu(t)$ for all $t \geq T$. Substituting this in (2.7) and rearranging, we obtain (2.3). This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.4. Assume (1.3). If $x$ is a positive solution of (1.1), then the corresponding $z$ satisfies eventually one of the following two cases:
(1) $z>0, z^{\prime}>0,\left(a z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \leq 0$;
(2) $z>0, z^{\prime}<0,\left(a z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \leq 0$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [25, Lemma 2.1], and hence the details are omitted.
Lemma 2.5. Let $x$ be a positive solution of (1.1) and suppose $z$ satisfies Case (2) of Lemma 2.4. Then there exists $T \geq t_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\alpha}(t) \geq Q_{2}(t) z\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right), \quad t \geq T \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ and the definition of $z$, we have $z(t) \geq x(t)$ for all $t \geq T \geq t_{0}$. Further, for $s \geq t$, we have

$$
z^{\prime}(s) \leq \frac{a(t) z^{\prime}(t)}{a(s)}
$$

and integrating this inequality from $t$ to $\ell$, we find

$$
z(\ell) \leq z(t)+a(t) z^{\prime}(t) \int_{t}^{\ell} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{a(s)}
$$

Letting $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
0 \leq z(t)+A(t) a(t) z^{\prime}(t)
$$

and we deduce from the last inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{z}{A}\right)^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, \quad t \geq T \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\tau^{-1}(t) \leq \tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)$ and $z$ is decreasing, we have from (2.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\alpha}(t) \geq \frac{z\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)-\frac{1}{\alpha p^{1 / \alpha}\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right)}\left(z\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)+\alpha-1\right)}{p\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. From (2.9), it follows that $\frac{z(t)}{A(t)}$ is increasing and $A(t)$ is decreasing and tending to zero, thus $\frac{z(t)}{A(t)} \geq A(t)$ for all $t \geq T$. Substituting this in (2.10) and rearranging, we get (2.8). This completes the proof.

Here is our first oscillation result.
Theorem 2.1. Assume (1.2) and $\beta \geq \alpha$. If $\sigma(t) \leq \tau(t)$ for all $t \geq T$ and there exists a positive, nondecreasing and differentiable function $\rho$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T}^{t}\left[\rho(s) q(s) Q_{1}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\sigma(s)) \frac{R^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(s))\right)}{R^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(s)}-\frac{a(s)\left(\rho^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}}{4 M_{2} \rho(s)}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every constant $M_{2}>0$, then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $x$ be an eventually positive solution of (1.1), such that $x(\tau(t))>0$ and $x(\sigma(t))>0$ for all $t \geq T \geq t_{0}$, where $T$ is chosen so that (2.2) holds for $t \geq T$. From (1.1) and (2.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(t)+M q(t) Q_{1}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\sigma(t)) z^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(t))\right) \leq 0, \quad t \geq T . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\tau^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)) \leq t$ and $\frac{z(t)}{R(t)}$ is decreasing, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(t))\right)}{R\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(t))\right)} \geq \frac{z(t)}{R(t)}, \quad t \geq T . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.13) in (2.12) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(t)+M q(t) Q_{1}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\sigma(t)) \frac{R^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(t))\right)}{R^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(t)} z^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(t) \leq 0, \quad t \geq T \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t)=\rho(t) \frac{a(t) z^{\prime}(t)}{z(t)}, \quad t \geq T . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $w(t)>0$ for $t \geq T$, and using (2.14), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
w^{\prime}(t) & \leq \rho(t) \frac{\left(a z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(t)}{z(t)}+\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t)-\frac{w^{2}(t)}{a(t) \rho(t)} \\
& \leq-M K^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}-1} \rho(t) q(t) Q_{1}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\sigma(t)) \frac{R^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(t))\right)}{R^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(t)}+\frac{a(t)\left(\rho^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}}{4 \rho(t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used $z(t) \geq K>0$ and $\beta \geq \alpha$ for $t \geq T$. Integrating the last inequality from $T$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
\int_{T}^{t}\left[\rho(s) q(s) Q_{1}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\sigma(s)) \frac{R^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(s))\right)}{R^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(s)}-\frac{a(s)\left(\rho^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}}{4 M_{2} \rho(s)}\right] \mathrm{d} s \leq w(T),
$$

where $M_{2}=M K^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}-1}$. Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality, we obtain a contradiction with (2.11). This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2. Assume (1.2) and $\beta \leq \alpha$. If $\sigma(t) \leq \tau(t)$ for all $t \geq T$ and there exists a positive, nondecreasing and differentiable function $\rho$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T}^{t}\left[\rho(s) q(s) Q_{1}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\sigma(s)) \frac{R^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(s))\right)}{R(s)}-\frac{a(s)\left(\rho^{\prime}(s)\right)^{2}}{4 M_{3} \rho(s)}\right] \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every constant $M_{3}>0$, then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have (2.12). Since $\frac{z(t)}{R(t)}$ is decreasing and $\beta<\alpha$, there exists $K_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\frac{z^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}-1}(t)}{R^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}-1}(t)} \geq \frac{1}{K_{1}^{1-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}}, \quad t \geq T .
$$

Using this in (2.12), we obtain

$$
\left(a z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(t)+M_{3} q(t) Q_{1}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\sigma(t)) \frac{R^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(t))\right)}{R(t)} z(t) \leq 0, \quad t \geq T,
$$

where $M_{3}=\frac{M}{K_{1}^{1-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}}$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.1, and the details are omitted. This completes the proof.

Our next theorems are for the case when (1.3) holds.
Theorem 2.3. Assume (1.3). If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T}^{\infty} q(t) Q_{1}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\sigma(t)) \mathrm{d} t=\infty \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)}\left(\int_{T}^{t} q(s) Q_{2}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\sigma(s)) A^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(s))\right) \mathrm{d} s\right) \mathrm{d} t=\infty, \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Let $x$ be an eventually positive solution of (1.1) such that $x(\tau(t))>0$ and $x(\sigma(t))>0$ for all $t \geq T \geq t_{0}$, where $T$ is chosen so that the cases of Lemma 2.4 hold for all $t \geq T$. We shall show that in each case we are led to a contradiction. Case (1). From (1.1) and (2.3), we obtain (2.12), and integrating this from $T$ to $t$ and using the fact that $z(t)$ is increasing, we have

$$
\int_{T}^{t} q(s) Q_{1}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\sigma(s)) \mathrm{d} s<\infty .
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality, we obtain a contradiction with (2.17). Case (2). From (1.1) and (2.9), we have

$$
\left(a z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(t)+M q(t) Q_{2}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\sigma(t)) z^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(t))\right) \leq 0, \quad t \geq T .
$$

Integrating the last inequality from $T$ to $t$, we obtain

$$
M \int_{T}^{t} q(s) Q_{2}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\sigma(s)) z^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(s))\right) \mathrm{d} s \leq-a(t) z^{\prime}(t)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M K_{2}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}}{a(t)} \int_{T}^{t} q(s) Q_{2}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\sigma(s)) A^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(s))\right) \mathrm{d} s \leq-z^{\prime}(t), \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used that $\frac{z(t)}{A(t)}$ is increasing and $\frac{z(t)}{A(t)} \geq K_{2}>0$ for all $t \geq T$. Integrating (2.19) from $T$ to $t$ and then letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain a contradiction with (2.18). This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.4. Assume (1.3) and (2.18). If $\sigma(t)<\tau(t)$ and the first-order delay differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(t)+M q(t) Q_{1}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\sigma(t)) R^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(t))\right) w^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(t))\right) \leq 0, \quad t \geq T \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no positive solution, then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we see that one of the two cases of Lemma 2.4 holds. Case (1). Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have (2.12). Now using (2.5), it follows from (2.12) that

$$
\left(a z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(t)+M q(t) Q_{1}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\sigma(t)) R^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(t))\right) w^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(t))\right) \leq 0, \quad t \geq T
$$

holds. Let $w(t)=a(t) z^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq T$. Then $w$ is a positive solution of the inequality (2.20), which is a contradiction. The proof of Case (2) is similar to that of Case (2) of Theorem 2.3. This completes the proof.

Corollary 2.1. Assume (1.3), $\alpha=\beta$, and $\sigma(t)<\tau(t)$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau^{-1}(\sigma(t))}^{t} q(s) Q_{1}(\sigma(s)) R\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(s))\right) \mathrm{d} s>\frac{1}{e M} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)}\left(\int_{T}^{s} q(s) Q_{3}(\sigma(s)) A\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(s))\right) \mathrm{d} s\right) \mathrm{d} t=\infty, \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we see that one of the two cases of Lemma 2.4 holds. Case (1). By (2.21) and [6, Theorem 2.1.1], (2.20) has no positive solution, which is a contradiction. The proof of Case (2) is the same as that of Case (2) of Theorem 2.3 with $\alpha=\beta$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 2.2. Assume (1.3), $\alpha>\beta$, and $\sigma(t)<\tau(t)$. If (2.18) holds and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T}^{\infty} q(t) Q_{1}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(\sigma(t)) R^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}\left(\tau^{-1}(\sigma(t))\right) \mathrm{d} t=\infty, \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we see that one of the two cases of Lemma 2.4 holds. Case (1). By (2.23) and [6, Theorem 3.9.3], (2.20) has no positive solution, which is a contradiction. The proof of Case (2) is similar to that of Case (2) of Theorem 2.3. This completes the proof.

In the following corollary, we assume $\sigma(t)=t-k$ and $\tau(t)=t-m$, where $k>m>0$ are constants.
Corollary 2.3. Assume (1.3), $\alpha<\beta$, and $k>m$. If (2.18) holds and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{-\frac{t}{k-m}} \log \left(q(t) Q^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(t-k) R^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(t-k+m)\right)\right]>0, \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every solution of (1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we see that one of the two cases of Lemma 2.4 holds. Case (1). By [20, Lemma 2.2], the inequality (2.20) and the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(t)+M q(t) Q_{1}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(t-k) R^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(t-k+m) w^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}(t-k+m)=0, \quad t \geq T \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a positive solution. But by (2.24) and [20, Corollary 1.2], (2.25) has no positive solution, which is a contradiction. The proof of Case (2) is similar to that of Case (2) of Theorem 2.3. This completes the proof.

## 3 Examples

In this section, we present two examples to illustrate the main results.
Example 3.1. Consider the second-order neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(t \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(x(t)+\frac{t^{3}}{8} x^{3}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right)\right)+\lambda t^{2} x^{3}\left(\frac{t}{3}\right)=0, \quad t \geq 1, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda>0$ is a constant. Here,

$$
\begin{gathered}
M=1, \quad \alpha=\beta=3, \quad a(t)=t, \quad p(t)=\frac{t^{3}}{8}, \\
q(t)=\lambda t^{2}, \quad \tau(t)=\frac{t}{2}, \quad \sigma(t)=\frac{t}{3} .
\end{gathered}
$$

A simple calculation shows that $R(t)=\log t$, and by taking $\mu(t)=\frac{1}{4 t}$, we see that

$$
Q_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{t^{3}}\left[1-\frac{1}{6 t}\left(\frac{\log 4 t}{\log 2 t}+t\right)\right]>0, \quad t \geq 1 .
$$

By taking $\rho(t)=1$, we see that (2.11) is satisfied for all $\lambda>0$. Hence by Theorem 2.1, every solution of (3.1) is oscillatory provided $\lambda>0$.

Example 3.2. Consider the second-order neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(t^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(x(t)+e^{t} x^{3}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right)\right)+e^{t} x\left(\frac{t}{3}\right)=0, \quad t \geq 1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here,

$$
\begin{gathered}
M=1, \quad \alpha=3, \quad \beta=1, \quad a(t)=t^{2}, \quad p(t)=e^{t}, \\
q(t)=e^{t}, \quad \tau(t)=\frac{t}{2}, \quad \sigma(t)=\frac{t}{3} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
R(t)=\frac{t-1}{t}, \quad A(t)=\frac{1}{t} \\
Q_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{3 e^{2 t}}\left[3-e^{-\frac{4}{3} t}\left(\frac{8 t^{2}-2 t}{2 t-1}\right)\right]>0, \quad t \geq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
Q_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{3 e^{2 t}}\left[3-e^{-\frac{4}{3} t}\left(1+8 t^{2}\right)\right]>0, \quad t \geq 1 .
$$

Now one can easily see that (2.17) and (2.18) are satisfied, and hence by Theorem 2.3, every solution of (3.2) is oscillatory.
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