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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

During the 7th quarter of this project, the 2nd field demonstration tests were carried out at the I-44W Bridge (No. L0093) over the Roubidoux Creek in Missouri. This report summarizes the test results and findings.
I - TECHNICAL STATUS

I.1 ACCOMPLISHMENTS BY MILESTONE

In this quarter, another series of field tests were carried out at the I-44W Roubidoux Creek Bridge, Br. No, L0039, MO. One smart rock with two N42 magnets stacked and set in an automatically pointing-up system (APUS) was deployed at the downstream of Bent 7 during the first series of field tests as described in Report No.5. The second tests aimed at checking if the smart rock had been moved to the deepest point in a scour hole. The smart rock was located from the measurements taken with the test crane set at the same locations on the bridge deck as used during the first test. The 3-axis flux magnetometer sensor head and a nearby prism were mounted on the test crane. The sensor head was used to measure the magnetic field and the prism was used as a target to survey the coordinates of each measurement point. Finally, the new position of the smart rock was located based on the magnetic field data and measurement point coordinates.

Task 3.1 Time- and Event-based Field Measurements

In this task, field tests were carried out on the deck of I-44W Roubidoux Creek Bridge as shown in Figure 1. First, the smart rock deployed previously at the downstream of Bent 7 was physically located by a diver as a ground true data for the rock localization algorithm and a confirmation that it was rolled to the bottom of the scour hole. Then, the rock was mathematically located from field measurements taken following the same procedure as used during the first series of field tests. For this series of tests, the smart rock was already in place so that only the total magnetic field around the smart rock was measured. For the ambient magnetic field, Bent 5 far away from the smart rock was selected as a reference. Five permanent points near Bent 5 were selected to investigate the variation of the ambient magnetic field over time. Another point far away from the bridge Bent 5 was chosen as the permanent point to investigate the variation of the Earth magnetic field.

Figure 1 I-44W Roubidoux Creek Bridge
A. Test Setup and Layout

All tests were conducted on the bridge shoulder near Bent 7 as shown in Figure 2(a, b). A total station was set near Bent 8. Its position was used as the origin of a Cartesian coordinate system XYZ with X-, Y-, and Z-axes oriented in transverse, longitudinal (traffic direction), and vertical (upward) directions, respectively. The smart rock, SR1, was confirmed around Bent 7. The test crane was fixed on a trailer towed by a truck. The magnetometer sensor mounted on the test crane was extended down from the bridge deck to measure the total magnetic field near the smart rock. Prism 3 mounted below the sensor as shown in Figure 2(c) was used to represent the coordinate of each measurement point. Prisms 1 and 2 fixed at two ends of the horizontal bar of the test crane were employed to ensure that the horizontal bar was in parallel with X axis. The measurement points, as shown in Figure 2(a), are exactly the same locations as used during the first series of field tests. The sensor points were translated to the corresponding forklift locations on the bridge deck, as displayed in Figure 2(d). For each point in XOY plane, seven elevations denoted as Z1, Z2, Z3, Z4, Z5, Z6 and Z7 with equal spacing of 0.3 m were considered for measurements in Z-direction. The total station set near Bent 8 was used to survey the coordinates (location) of the smart rock and magnetometer sensor as ground true data.

(a) Schematic View of Smart Rock and Sensor Locations in Plane
Figure 2 Test Setup at I-44W Roubidoux Creek Bridge Site

(b) Layout of the Overall Measurement System

(c) Sensor and Prisms Positions

(d) Measurement Points Arrangement on Bridge Deck

Figure 2 Test Setup at I-44W Roubidoux Creek Bridge Site
**B. Test Procedures**

(1) **Set the XYZ Coordinate System.** As shown in Figure 3, a proper location for the total station was selected near Bent 8 for its line of sight to the magnetometer sensor, which is designated as Point O or the origin of the coordinate system. The Y-axis pointing to Springfield was selected to be the longitudinal (traffic) direction of the straight bridge deck, passing through Point O. The X-axis is perpendicular to the Y-axis and pointing to downstream in the horizontal plane, and the Z-axis is upward according to the right hand rule. A permanent point A (Benchmark) on Pier 9 was also surveyed for future reference and translation from the measurement points under O-XYZ to the coordinates selected during the first series of field tests. Based on the two tests conducted thus far, the difference between the origins of the two coordinate systems is very small.

![Figure 3 Selection of the Cartesian Coordinate System](image)

(2) **Assemble the Test Crane.** As shown in Figures 2(b), the forklift was first set and tied to an open trailer. The horizontal aluminum arm was then installed and followed by an assembling of nine segments of carbon fiber tubes with 1.0 meter each to lower down the measurement points from the bridge deck. Finally, the horizontal bar was connected at the bottom of the carbon tube to support the magnetometer sensor and prisms for coordinate measurement.

(3) **Set up the STL Digital Magnetometer.** As shown in Figure 2(b), the laptop installed with special software for the sensor controls the measurement of magnetic fields. An Ethernet cable was used to transmit the signal from the sensor to the laptop by an interface called mini Ethernet box. Two batteries were used to power the sensor and laptop.

(4) **Measure the Total Magnetic Field.** The total magnetic field is a combination of the effects of the smart rock, the Earth, and the bridge environment. As indicated in Figure 2(a, b, d), the
trailer ran two paths (X coordinates) on the bridge deck and three stops (Y coordinates). At each stop, seven elevations (Z coordinates) were selected by moving up and down the horizontal arm of the test crane by 0.3 m as used during the first series of field tests. Figure 4(a) illustrates one stop when the two rear tires of the trailer were parked at the marked location and the forklift was positioned at X2Y3. At each stop, seven measurements (both coordinate and magnetic field intensity) were taken at seven elevations. Therefore, a total of 42 measurements were taken as indicated in Figure 4(b).

(5) **Inspect the Smart Rock and Measure its Coordinates.** The smart rock, SR1, was inspected to ensure that it was rolled to the bottom of the scour hole around Bent 7. It was found to have slightly moved from the original position when deployed during the first series of field tests. The coordinate of the smart rock in the new position was surveyed with a total station through the prism placed on top of the smart tock, which is used for ground truth data in smart rock localization. Figure 5 indicates the approximate location and the coordinate measurement of the inspected smart rock.
Measure the Ambient Magnetic Field (AMF) for Reference. Bent 5 is approximately 61 m away from Bent 7. Pier 5 was thus selected as a reference site for a study of potential change of the ambient magnetic field over time. Five permanent points, P5-1, P5-2, P5-3, P5-4 and P5-5 as shown in Figure 6(a) were selected at the top of orange markers. The magnitude of the ambient magnetic field for each point was measured by a scalar magnetometer G858. Note that the magnetic intensity change over time, measured near Pier 5, can be used to understand any potential time-varying change of the ambient magnetic field near Bent 7. P5 area at least 15 m away from Pier 5, as circled in Figure 6(b), was selected during the first series of field tests as a reference location for the Earth magnetic field intensity. It was found that the intensity inside the P5 area changed little as observed during the first tests, indicating little influence from the bridge pier or deck and thus the Earth's magnetic field only. Continuing measurements in the P5 area shed light on any potential change of the Earth magnetic field between various field tests.
D. Localization Algorithm

The total magnetic field intensity (B) is a function of the ambient field \((B_{XA}, B_{YA} \text{ and } B_{ZA})\) and the magnetic field of a smart rock at \((X_M, Y_M, Z_M)\). Both the total and ambient magnetic fields were measured at each measurement point \((X, Y, Z)\) so that an objective error function can be formulated and minimized to determine the location of the smart rock.

E. Test Results and Discussion

Table 1 summarizes the coordinates of 42 measurement points, the ambient magnetic field (AMF) intensities and the total magnetic field intensities. The coordinates presented in Table 1 have been transformed to the coordinate system set up during the first series of field tests as described in Report No. 5 through the benchmark point A surveyed at both series of field tests. The coordinate transformation is necessary to ensure that the movement of the smart rock can be illustrated under one coordinate system. The AMF is generated by the Earth and nearby ferromagnetic objects embedded in the bridge pier and deck. The effect from the bridge pier and deck on the AMF is stationary and time independent since the distribution of ferromagnetic materials in the pier and deck remains unchanged over time. However, the Earth magnetic field would vary over time in days, seasons or years as a result of the direct or indirect effect of solar wind. Therefore, the AMF measured prior to the initial deployment of the smart rock must be modified for the Earth effect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement Point Coordinate (m)</th>
<th>Factor (nT.m³)</th>
<th>AMF Intensity (nT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1 Y1 Z1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z1 3.829 21.766 -1.004 86521</td>
<td>22940 1023 -49252</td>
<td>54342 53746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z2 3.817 21.662 -0.713 86521</td>
<td>22564 1754 -49347</td>
<td>54181 53850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z3 3.796 21.612 -0.411 86521</td>
<td>22575 2246 -49293</td>
<td>54155 53952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z4 3.804 21.647 -0.123 86521</td>
<td>22601 2387 -49255</td>
<td>54137 54035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z5 3.756 21.508 0.183 86521</td>
<td>22568 2337 -49249</td>
<td>54116 54089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z6 3.792 21.559 0.474 86521</td>
<td>23026 3368 -49014</td>
<td>54150 54157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z7 3.806 21.531 0.791 86521</td>
<td>22790 2415 -49119</td>
<td>54095 54187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1 Y2 Z1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z1 3.832 24.537 -0.999 86521</td>
<td>22627 2177 -49075</td>
<td>53977 53181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z2 3.874 24.423 -0.744 86521</td>
<td>22713 2496 -48954</td>
<td>53917 53422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z3 3.796 24.397 -0.397 86521</td>
<td>22569 2561 -48961</td>
<td>53866 53605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z4 3.751 24.338 -0.130 86521</td>
<td>23043 2814 -48689</td>
<td>53833 53747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z5 3.818 24.374 0.188 86521</td>
<td>22523 2815 -48890</td>
<td>53795 53845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z6 3.778 24.342 0.470 86521</td>
<td>22636 2728 -48815</td>
<td>53770 53927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z7 3.751 24.231 0.773 86521</td>
<td>22506 2805 -48845</td>
<td>53746 53960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1 Y3 Z1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z1 3.850 27.663 -1.018 86521</td>
<td>21640 2266 -49333</td>
<td>53811 53394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z2 3.844 27.618 -0.728 86521</td>
<td>21693 2419 -49289</td>
<td>53799 53474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X2Y1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z1</td>
<td>2.054</td>
<td>21.861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z2</td>
<td>2.066</td>
<td>21.715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z3</td>
<td>2.063</td>
<td>21.878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z4</td>
<td>2.076</td>
<td>21.678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z5</td>
<td>2.070</td>
<td>21.617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z6</td>
<td>2.059</td>
<td>21.612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z7</td>
<td>2.068</td>
<td>21.619</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>X2Y2</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z1</td>
<td>2.074</td>
<td>24.548</td>
<td>-0.993</td>
<td>86521</td>
<td>23109</td>
<td>4441</td>
<td>-48319</td>
<td>53638</td>
<td>55899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z2</td>
<td>2.010</td>
<td>24.412</td>
<td>-0.714</td>
<td>86521</td>
<td>23007</td>
<td>4968</td>
<td>-48183</td>
<td>53518</td>
<td>55802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z3</td>
<td>2.074</td>
<td>24.433</td>
<td>-0.411</td>
<td>86521</td>
<td>22836</td>
<td>5724</td>
<td>-48144</td>
<td>53485</td>
<td>55706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z4</td>
<td>2.078</td>
<td>24.455</td>
<td>-0.112</td>
<td>86521</td>
<td>22489</td>
<td>5271</td>
<td>-48526</td>
<td>53636</td>
<td>55599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z5</td>
<td>2.089</td>
<td>24.467</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>86521</td>
<td>22485</td>
<td>4990</td>
<td>-48711</td>
<td>53775</td>
<td>55470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z6</td>
<td>2.092</td>
<td>24.203</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>86521</td>
<td>22392</td>
<td>5231</td>
<td>-48895</td>
<td>53925</td>
<td>55440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z7</td>
<td>2.086</td>
<td>24.321</td>
<td>0.791</td>
<td>86521</td>
<td>22452</td>
<td>5588</td>
<td>-49000</td>
<td>54081</td>
<td>55394</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>X2Y3</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z1</td>
<td>2.135</td>
<td>27.568</td>
<td>-1.012</td>
<td>86521</td>
<td>20988</td>
<td>5262</td>
<td>-49629</td>
<td>54034</td>
<td>53723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z2</td>
<td>2.133</td>
<td>27.316</td>
<td>-0.717</td>
<td>86521</td>
<td>21036</td>
<td>5322</td>
<td>-49617</td>
<td>54046</td>
<td>53923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z3</td>
<td>2.062</td>
<td>27.230</td>
<td>-0.403</td>
<td>86521</td>
<td>21225</td>
<td>5488</td>
<td>-49548</td>
<td>54074</td>
<td>54113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z4</td>
<td>2.041</td>
<td>27.370</td>
<td>-0.120</td>
<td>86521</td>
<td>21197</td>
<td>5411</td>
<td>-49618</td>
<td>54119</td>
<td>54265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z5</td>
<td>2.049</td>
<td>27.250</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>86521</td>
<td>21428</td>
<td>5397</td>
<td>-49594</td>
<td>54186</td>
<td>54424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z6</td>
<td>2.110</td>
<td>27.306</td>
<td>0.470</td>
<td>86521</td>
<td>21684</td>
<td>5833</td>
<td>-49467</td>
<td>54218</td>
<td>54545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z7</td>
<td>2.035</td>
<td>27.279</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>86521</td>
<td>21851</td>
<td>6926</td>
<td>-49317</td>
<td>54276</td>
<td>54668</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the second series of field tests as discussed in this report, the ambient magnetic intensity in the P5 area measured with the magnetometer G858 is 52123 nT, which is different from 51761 nT that was measured during the first series of field tests as described in Report No. 5. It can be seen that the Earth magnetic field intensity is increased by 0.7% with respect to the first series of field tests. Since the two O-XYZ coordinate systems used during the first and second series of field tests are identical except with different origins and the direction of the Earth magnetic field is assumed to remain unchanged over time, the change in three components of the Earth magnetic field is proportional to that in magnitude of the Earth field. Knowing the direction of the Earth magnetic field, each component of the AMF in Report No. 5 can be increased by an amount equal to the product of (52123-51761) and the cosine coefficient. The modified AMF is presented in Table 1.
The coefficient $k$ for two stacked N42 magnets was calculated from the maximum residual flux density. The three components of the total magnetic field ($B_x$, $B_y$, $B_z$) were directly measured with the 3-axis flux magnetometer that was oriented in parallel with the O-XYZ coordinate system. Therefore, the three components of the total magnetic field and the three components ($B_{Ax}$, $B_{Ay}$, $B_{Az}$) of the ambient magnetic field were substituted into the localization algorithm to determine the coordinates of the smart rock SR1.

Table 2 compares the predicted and measured coordinates ($X_M$, $Y_M$, $Z_M$) of the smart rock SR1. It was calculated from the measured coordinates and magnetic intensities at various sensor locations. The overall error is 0.333 m, which is less than the error limit of 0.5 m set forth at the beginning of this project. Therefore, the analysis method to account for a variation of the ambient magnetic field over time is acceptable for bridge scour monitoring with smart rocks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>$X_M$ (m)</th>
<th>$Y_M$ (m)</th>
<th>$Z_M$ (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Predicted SR1 Location</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>24.384</td>
<td>-3.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured SR1 Location</td>
<td>0.366</td>
<td>24.601</td>
<td>-3.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location Prediction Error in Coordinate</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>-0.217</td>
<td>0.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRSS Error in Rock Location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.333 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Task 3.2 Visualization Tools for Rock Location Mapping over Time**

Tests for the mapping of the river bed profile around Bent 7 of the I-44W Roubidoux Creek Bridge were completed using a side imaging instrument. The data processing and visualization of the movement of the smart rock SR1 are currently on going and will be documented in the final report.

**Task 4 Technology Transfer, Report and Travel Requirements**

The 7th quarterly report is being submitted.

**I.2 PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED**

In this quarter, additional field tests were delayed since the field experienced specialist went through a surgeon that requires about two months of sick leave.

**I.3 FUTURE PLAN**

The following task and subtasks will be executed during the next quarter.

**Task 3.1 Time- and Event-based Field Measurements**
The field tests at one bridge in California and two bridge sites in Missouri will continue to further validate the movement and localization of smart rocks.

**Task 3.2 Visualization Tools for Rock Location Mapping over Time**

This task will be completed based on the field tests at the bridge sites in California and Missouri.

**Task 4 Technology Transfer, Report and Travel Requirements**

The 8th quarterly report will be prepared and submitted.
II – BUSINESS STATUS

II.1 HOURS/EFFORT EXPENDED

The planned hours and the actual hours spent on this project are given and compared in Table 3. In the seventh quarter, the actual hours spent are less than the planned hours, leading to an actual cumulative hour of approximately 110% of the planned hours. The cumulative hours spent on various tasks by personnel are presented in Figure 7.

Table 3 Hours Spent on This Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarter</th>
<th>Planned Labor Hours</th>
<th>Cumulative Labor Hours</th>
<th>Actual Labor Hours</th>
<th>Cumulative Labor Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quarter 1</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter 2</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter 3</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter 4</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>1288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter 5</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter 6</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>1416</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter 7</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>1652</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>1810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarter 8</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>1888</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7 Cumulative Hours Spent on Various Tasks by Personnel
II.2 FUNDS EXPENDED AND COST SHARE

The budgeted and expended OST-R funds accumulated by quarter are compared in Figure 8. Approximately 92% of the proposed budget has been spent till the end of seventh quarter. The actual cumulative expenditures from OST-R, Mo S&T, and MoDOT are compared in Figure 9. The expenditure from OST-R is less than the combined amount from the Mo S&T and MoDOT, meeting the required non-federal match fund requirement.