www.commun-math-anal.org

# $\mathbf{C}$ ommunications in $\mathbf{M}$ athematical $\mathbf{A}$ nalysis

Volume 9, Number 1, pp. 93–108 (2010) ISSN 1938-9787

# **EXPONENTIAL STABILITY IN FUNCTIONAL DYNAMIC EQUATIONS ON TIME SCALES**

#### ELVAN AKIN-BOHNER\*

Department of Mathematics and Statistics Missouri University of Science and Technology 410 12th Street, Rolla, MO 65401, USA

#### YOUSSEF N. RAFFOUL<sup>†</sup>

Department of Mathematics University of Dayton Dayton, 45469-2316, USA

#### **CHRISTOPHER TISDELL<sup>‡</sup>**

School of Mathematics and Statistics The University of New South Wales Sydney 2052, Australia

(Communicated by Terry Mills)

#### Abstract

We are interested in the exponential stability of the zero solution of a functional dynamic equation on a time scale, a nonempty closed subset of real numbers. The approach is based on suitable Lyapunov functionals and certain inequalities. We apply our results to obtain exponential stability in Volterra integrodynamic equations on time scales.

#### AMS Subject Classification: 39A10

**Keywords**: measure chains, time scales, Lyapunov functionals, non-negative definite, exponential stability, Volterra integro-dynamic equations

<sup>\*</sup>E-mail address: akine@mst.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>E-mail address: youssef.raffoul@notes.udayton.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>E-mail address: cct@maths.unsw.edu.au

### **1** Introduction

In this paper, we consider the exponential stability of the zero solution of functional equations of the form

$$x^{\Delta}(t) = G(t, x(s); 0 \le s \le t) := G(t, x(.))$$
(1.1)

on a time scale  $\mathbb{T}$  with  $0 \in \mathbb{T}$ , a closed subset of real numbers. x is a delta-differentiable  $n \times 1$  vector function and  $G : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$  is a given nonlinear continuous function in t and x such that G(t,0) = 0. ".<sup>Δ</sup>" turns out to be the usual derivative when  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$  and the forward difference operator when  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ . The forward difference operator is defined by  $\Delta x(t) = x(t+1) - x(t)$ . Throughout this paper, for each continuous function  $\phi : [0, t_0] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$  there exists at least one continuous function  $x(t) = x(t, t_0, \phi)$  on an interval  $[t_0, I]$  such that it satisfies (1.1) for  $0 \le t_0 \le t \le I$  and such that  $x(t, t_0, \phi) = \phi(t)$  for  $0 \le t_0 \le I$ . For the existence and extendibility of solutions of (1.1), we refer the reader to [4].

Our results in this paper extends the results in [8] to functional dynamic equations on time scales. Peterson and Raffoul in [8] obtain the exponential stability of the zero solution of the initial value problem

$$x^{\Delta}(t) = G(t, x(t)), \qquad x(t_0) = x_0, \qquad t_0 \ge 0, \quad x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$$

by using non-negative definite Lyapunov functions but their results do not apply to equations similar to the Volterra integrodynamic equations

$$x^{\Delta} = a(t)x + \int_0^t B(t,s)f(x(s))\Delta s.$$
 (1.2)

In this paper, we are especially interested in applying our results to equation (1.1) with  $f(x) = x^n$ , where *n* is positive and rational.

The concept of "type I Lyapunov funtion" was first defined by Peterson and Tisdell in [9] for the study of existence of solutions of first order dynamic equations.

We say  $V: [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto [0,\infty)$  is a type I Lyapunov functional on  $[0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$  when

$$V(t,x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( V_i(x_i) + U_i(t) \right),$$

where each  $V_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $U_i : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuously differentiable. Next, we extend the definition of the derivative of a type I Lyapunov function to type I Lyapunov functionals. If *V* is a type I Lyapunov functional and *x* is a solution of equation (1.1), then we have

$$[V(t,x)]^{\Delta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( V_i(x_i(t)) + U_i(t) \right)^{\Delta}$$
  
=  $\int_0^1 \nabla V \left[ x(t) + h\mu(t) G(t,x(.)) \right] \cdot G(t,x(.)) dh + \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_i^{\Delta}(t)$ 

where  $\nabla = (\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)$  is the gradient operator. This motivates us to define  $\dot{V}$ :  $[0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\dot{V}(t,x) = [V(t,x)]^{\Delta}$$

Continuing in the spirit of [9], we have

$$\dot{V}(t,x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{V_i \left( x_i + \mu(t) G_i(t, x(\cdot)) \right) - V_i(x_i)}{\mu(t)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_i^{\Delta}(t), & \text{when } \mu(t) \neq 0, \\ \nabla V(x) \cdot G(t, x(\cdot)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_i^{\Delta}(t), & \text{when } \mu(t) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We also use a continuous strictly increasing function  $W_i : [0, \infty) \mapsto [0, \infty)$  with  $W_i(0) = 0$ ,  $W_i(s) > 0$  if s > 0 for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

## 2 Calculus on Time Scales with Preliminary Results

An introduction with applications and advances in dynamic equations are given in [5, 6]. In this section, we only mention necessary basic results on time scales. We have two jump operators, namely the *forward jump operator* and the *backward jump operator* 

$$\sigma(t) := \inf\{s > t : s \in \mathbb{T}\}, \quad \rho(t) := \sup\{s < t : s \in \mathbb{T}\}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ , respectively. Therefore, there might be four types of points in a time scale, i.e.,  $\sigma(t) > t$  (*right-scattered point t*),  $\rho(t) < t$  (*left-scattered point t*),  $\sigma(t) = t$  (*right-dense* point *t*), and  $\rho(t) = t$  (*left-dense point t*). Also  $\mu : \mathbb{T} \mapsto [0, \infty)$  defined by  $\mu(t) := \sigma(t) - t$  gives the distance between two points in a time scale.

Assume  $x : \mathbb{T} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then we define  $x^{\Delta}(t)$  to be the vector (provided it exists) with the property that given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a neighborhood *U* of *t* such that

$$\left| \left[ x_i(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)) - x_i(s) \right] - x_i^{\Delta}(t) [\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) - s] \right| \le \varepsilon \left| \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) - s \right|$$

for all  $s \in U$  and for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots n$ . We call  $x^{\Delta}(t)$  the *delta derivative* of x(t) at t, and it turns out that  $x^{\Delta}(t) = x'(t)$  if  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$  and  $x^{\Delta}(t) = x(t+1) - x(t)$  if  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $G^{\Delta}(t) = g(t)$ , then the Cauchy integral is defined by

$$\int_{a}^{t} g(s)\Delta s = G(t) - G(a)$$

It can be shown that for each continuous function  $f : \mathbb{T} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$  at  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ 

$$f^{\Delta}(t) = \frac{f(\mathbf{\sigma}(t)) - f(t)}{\mu(t)}$$
 for right-scattered point t

and if the limit exists

$$f^{\Delta}(t) = \lim_{s \to t} \frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s}$$
 for right-dense point t.

The product and quotient rules are given by

$$(fg)^{\Delta}(t) = f^{\Delta}(t)g(t) + f^{\sigma}(t)g^{\Delta}(t)$$
(2.1)

and

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^{\Delta}(t) = \frac{f^{\Delta}(t)g(t) - f(t)g^{\Delta}(t)}{g(t)g^{\sigma}(t)} \quad \text{if} \quad g(t)g^{\sigma}(t) \neq 0$$

for differentiable functions  $f, g : \mathbb{T} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$  at  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ . We also have the following simple useful formula

$$f^{\sigma}(t) = f(t) + \mu(t)f^{\Delta}(t), \text{ where } f^{\sigma} = f \circ \sigma.$$
 (2.2)

We say  $f : \mathbb{T} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is *rd-continuous* provided f is continuous at each right-dense point  $t \in \mathbb{T}$  and whenever  $t \in \mathbb{T}$  is left-dense  $\lim_{s \to t^-} f(s)$  exists as a finite number.

The following chain rule is due to Poetzsche [10].

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  be continuously differentiable and suppose  $g : \mathbb{T} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is delta differentiable. Then  $f \circ g : \mathbb{T} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is delta differentiable and the formula

$$(f \circ g)^{\Delta}(t) = \left\{ \int_0^1 f'\left(g(t) + h\mu(t)g^{\Delta}(t)\right) dh \right\} g^{\Delta}(t)$$
(2.3)

holds.

We use the following result [5, Theorem 1.117] to calculate the derivative of the Lyapunov function in further sections. If  $\mathbb{T}$  has a left-scattered maximum *m*, then  $\mathbb{T}^{\kappa} = \mathbb{T} - \{m\}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}$  and assume  $k : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}^{\kappa} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is continuous at (t,t), where  $t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}$  with  $t > t_0$ . Also assume that  $k(t, \cdot)$  is rd-continuous on  $[t_0, \sigma(t)]$ . Suppose for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a neighborhood of t, independent U of  $\tau \in [t_0, \sigma(t)]$ , such that

$$|k(\sigma(t),\tau)-k(s,\tau)-k^{\Delta}(t,\tau)(\sigma(t)-s)| \leq \varepsilon |\sigma(t)-s| \text{ for all } s \in U,$$

where  $k^{\Delta}$  denotes the derivative of k with respect to the first variable. Then

$$g(t) := \int_{t_0}^t k(t,\tau) \Delta \tau \text{ implies } g^{\Delta}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t k^{\Delta}(t,\tau) \Delta \tau + k(\sigma(t),t);$$

and

$$h(t) := \int_t^b k(t,\tau) \Delta \tau \text{ implies } k^{\Delta}(t) = \int_t^b k^{\Delta}(t,\tau) \Delta \tau - k(\sigma(t),t).$$

We apply the following Cauchy–Schwartz inequality in [5, Theorem 6.15] to prove Theorem 3.8.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ . For rd-continuous  $f, g : [a, b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} |f(t)g(t)|\Delta t \leq \sqrt{\left\{\int_{a}^{b} |f(t)|^{2} \Delta t\right\}} \left\{\int_{a}^{b} |g(t)|^{2} \Delta t\right\}.$$

Next, we define an exponential function on a time scale in order to obtain exponential stability of the zero solution of (1.1). We say that  $p : \mathbb{T} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is *regressive* provided  $1 + \mu(t)p(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}$ . We define the set  $\mathcal{R}$  of all regressive and rd-continuous functions. We define the set  $\mathcal{R}^+$  of all positively regressive elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  by  $\mathcal{R}^+ = \{p \in \mathcal{R} : 1 + \mu(t)p(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}\}$ . If  $p \in \mathcal{R}$ , then the *exponential function*  $e_p(t,t_0)$  is for each fixed  $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$  the unique solution of the initial value problem

$$x^{\Delta} = p(t)x, \ x(t_0) = 1$$

on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Under the addition on  $\mathcal{R}$  defined by

$$(p \oplus q)(t) = p(t) + q(t) + \mu(t)p(t)q(t), t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}$$

is an Abelian group (see [5]), where the additive inverse of p, denoted by  $\ominus p$ , is defined by

$$(\ominus p)(t) = \frac{-p(t)}{1+\mu(t)p(t)}, t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}.$$

We also define the "circle minus" subtraction  $\ominus$  on  $\mathcal{R}$  by

$$(p \ominus q)(t) := (p \oplus (\ominus q))(t), t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}.$$
(2.4)

Therefore,

$$(p \ominus q)(t) = \frac{p(t) - q(t)}{1 + \mu(t)q(t)}, t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}.$$

We use the following properties of the exponential function  $e_p(t,s)$  which are proved in Bohner and Peterson [5].

**Theorem 2.4.** If  $p, q \in \mathcal{R}$ , then for  $t, s, r, t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ 

- 1.  $e_p(t,t) \equiv 1$  and  $e_0(t,s) \equiv 1$ ;
- 2.  $e_p(\sigma(t),s) = (1 + \mu(t)p(t))e_p(t,s);$
- 3.  $\frac{1}{e_p(t,s)} = e_{\ominus p}(t,s) = e_p(s,t);$

4. 
$$\frac{e_p(t,s)}{e_q(t,s)} = e_{p\ominus q}(t,s);$$

- 5.  $e_p(t,s)e_q(t,s) = e_{p\oplus q}(t,s);$
- 6.  $e_p(t,r)e_p(r,s) = e_p(t,s).$

The following properties of the exponential function on  $\mathbb{T}$  can be found in [3].

#### **Theorem 2.5.** *Let* $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ *.*

- 1. If  $p \in \mathcal{R}^+$ , then  $e_p(t,t_0) > 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ .
- 2. If  $p \ge 0$ , then  $e_p(t,t_0) \ge 1$  for all  $t \ge t_0$ . Therefore,  $e_{\ominus p}(t,t_0) \le 1$  for all  $t \ge t_0$ .

Also, it follows from Bernoulli's inequality ([5], Theorem 6.2]) that for any time scale, if the constant  $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}^+$ , then

$$0 < e_{\ominus \lambda}(t, t_0) \le \frac{1}{1 + \lambda(t - t_0)}, \quad t \ge t_0.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}e_{\ominus\lambda}(t,t_0)=0.$$

In particular, if  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $e_{\ominus \lambda}(t, t_0) = e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)}$  and if  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then  $e_{\ominus \lambda}(t, t_0) = (1 + \lambda)^{-(t-t_0)}$ . For the growth of generalized exponential functions on time scales, see Bodine and Lutz [2].

### **3** Exponential Stability

In this section, we use a non-negative definite type I Lyapunov functional and establish sufficient conditions to obtain (uniformly) exponentially asymptotically stability of the zero solution of equation (1.1).

**Definition 3.1.** We say that the zero solution of (1.1) is *exponentially asymptotically stable* on  $[0,\infty)$  if there exist a positive constant d, a constant  $C \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , and an M > 0 such that for any solution  $x(t,t_0,\phi)$  of (1.1),

$$\|x(t,t_0,x_0)\| \le C\Big(|\phi|,t_0\Big)(e_{\ominus M}(t,t_0))^d, \text{ for all } t \in [t_0,\infty)$$

where  $C(|\phi|, t_0)$  is a constant depending on  $|\phi|$  and  $t_0$ ,  $\phi$  is a given continuous and bounded initial function, ||.|| is the Euclidean norm, and  $|\phi| = \sup\{||\phi(t)|| : 0 \le t \le t_0\}$ . We say that the zero solution of system (1.1) is *uniformly exponentially asymptotically stable* on  $[0,\infty)$  if *C* is independent of  $t_0$ .

We make use of the above expression in our examples. Following lemma is mentioned in [4].

**Lemma 3.2.** Assume  $\phi(t,s)$  is right-dense continuous (rd-continuous) with respect to the second variable and is delta-differentiable with respect to the first variable, and let

$$V(t,x) = x^2(t) + \int_0^t \phi(t,s)W(|x(s)|)\Delta s.$$

If x is a solution of (1.1), then we have by using (2.2) and Theorem 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t,x) &= 2x \cdot G(t,x(\cdot)) + \mu(t)G^2(t,x(\cdot)) \\ &+ \int_0^t \phi^{\Delta}(t,s)W(|x(s)|)\Delta s + \phi(\sigma(t),t)W(|x(t)|), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi^{\Delta}(t,s)$  denotes the derivative of  $\phi$  with respect to the first variable.

**Definition 3.3.** We say that a type I Lyapunov functional  $V : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto [0, \infty)$  is *negative definite* if  $V(t,x) \neq 0$  for  $x \neq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , V(t,x) = 0 for x = 0 and along the solutions of (1.1) we have  $\dot{V}(t,x) \leq 0$ . If the condition  $\dot{V}(t,x) \leq 0$  does not hold for all  $(t,x) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , then the Lyapunov functional is said to be *non-negative definite*.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  containing the origin. Suppose that there exists a type I Lyapunov functional  $V : [0,\infty) \times D \mapsto [0,\infty)$  such that for all  $(t,x) \in [0,\infty) \times D$ 

$$\lambda_1(t)W_1(|x|) \le V(t,x) \le \lambda_2(t)W_2(|x|) + \lambda_2(t) \int_0^t \phi_1(t,s)W_3(|x(s)|)\Delta s$$
(3.1)

and

$$\dot{V}(t,x) \le \frac{-\lambda_3(t)W_4(|x|) - \lambda_3(t)\int_0^t \phi_2(t,s)W_5(|x(s))|\Delta s - L(M \ominus \delta)e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0)}{1 + \mu(t)\frac{\lambda_3(t)}{\lambda_2(t)}}.$$
(3.2)

where  $\lambda_1(t), \lambda_2(t), \lambda_3(t)$  are positive continuous functions,  $M, \delta$  are positive constants, L is a nonnegative constant,  $\lambda_1(t)$  is nondecreasing and  $\phi_i(t,s) \ge 0$  is rd-continuous with respect to the second variable for  $0 \le s \le t < \infty$ , i = 1, 2 such that

$$W_2(|x|) - W_4(|x|) + \int_0^t \left(\phi_1(t,s)W_3(|x|) - \phi_2(t,s)W_5(|x(s)|)\right) \Delta s \le 0.$$
(3.3)

If  $\int_0^t \phi_1(t,s) \Delta s \leq B$  for some positive constants B, then the zero solution of equation (1.1) is exponentially asymptotically stable.

*Proof.* Let  $M := \inf_{t \ge 0} \frac{\lambda_3(t)}{\lambda_2(t)} > 0$  and set  $\delta > M$ . Let *x* be a solution of equation (1.1) with  $x(t) = \phi(t)$  for  $0 \le t \le t_0$ . Since  $M \le \frac{\lambda_3(t)}{\lambda_2(t)}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \left[ V(t, x(t)) e_{M}(t, t_{0}) \right]^{\Delta} & \stackrel{(2,1)}{=} & \dot{V}(t, x(t)) e_{M}^{\sigma}(t, t_{0}) + MV(t, x(t)) e_{M}(t, t_{0}) \\ & \stackrel{(2,2)}{=} & \left[ \dot{V}(t, x(t)) (1 + \mu(t)M) + MV(t, x(t)) \right] e_{M}(t, t_{0}) \\ & \stackrel{(3.1)(3.2)}{\leq} & \left[ -\lambda_{3}(t) W_{4}(|x|) - \lambda_{3}(t) \int_{0}^{t} \phi_{2}(t, s) W_{5}(|x(s)|) \Delta s - L(M \ominus \delta) e_{\ominus \delta}(t, 0) \\ & + & \lambda_{3}(t) W_{2}(|x|) + \lambda_{3}(t) \int_{0}^{t} \phi_{1}(t, s) W_{3}(|x(s)|) \Delta s \right] e_{M}(t, t_{0}) \\ & \stackrel{(3.3)}{\leq} & -L(M \ominus \delta) e_{\ominus \delta}(t, 0) e_{M}(t, t_{0}) \\ & \stackrel{(2.4)}{=} & -L(M \ominus \delta) e_{M \ominus \delta}(t, 0) e_{M}(0, t_{0}), \end{split}$$

where we used Theorem 2.5 (i), and Theorem 2.4 (ii), (v), and (vi). Integrating both sides from  $t_0$  to t, we have from Theorem 2.4 (i) and Theorem 2.5 (i) and (ii)

$$V(t,x(t))e_{M}(t,t_{0}) \leq V(t_{0},\phi) - Le_{M}(0,t_{0})e_{M\ominus\delta}(t,0) + Le_{M}(0,t_{0})e_{M\ominus\delta}(t_{0},0)$$
  
=  $V(t_{0},\phi) - Le_{\ominus\delta}(t,0)e_{M}(t,t_{0}) + Le_{\ominus\delta}(t_{0},0)$   
 $\leq V(t_{0},\phi) + L.$ 

It follows from Theorem 2.4 (iii) that for all  $t \ge t_0$ 

$$V(t,x(t)) \leq (V(t_0,\phi) + L)e_{\ominus M}(t,t_0).$$

From inequality (3.1), we have

$$\begin{split} W_1(|x|) &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1(t)} \left( V(t_0, \phi) + L \right) e_{\ominus M}(t, t_0) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1(t_0)} \left[ \lambda_2(t_0) W_2(|\phi|) + \lambda_2(t_0) W_3(|\phi|) \int_0^{t_0} \phi_1(t_0, s) \Delta s + L \right] e_{\ominus M}(t, t_0), \end{split}$$

where we used the fact Theorem 2.5 (ii) and  $\lambda_1(t)$  is nondecreasing. Therefore, we obtain

$$|x| \le W_1^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_1(t_0)} \left[ \lambda_2(t_0) W_2(|\phi|) + \lambda_2(t_0) W_3(|\phi|) \int_0^{t_0} \phi_1(t_0, s) \Delta s + L \right] e_{\ominus M}(t, t_0) \right\}$$

for all  $t \ge t_0$ . This concludes the proof.

*Remark* 3.5. In Theorem 3.4, if  $\lambda_i(t) = \lambda_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3 are positive constants, then the trivial solution of (1.1) is uniformly exponentially stable on  $[0,\infty)$ . The proof of this remark follows from Theorem 3.4 by taking  $\delta > \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2}$  and  $M = \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2}$ .

Next, we provide an example in the form of a theorem as an application to Theorem 3.1.

*Remark* 3.6. Condition (8) can be easily satisfied if  $W_2 = W_4$ ,  $W_3 = W_5$  and with the appropriate growth condition on the functions  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ , as the next theorem shows.

*Remark* 3.7. [4, Theorem 3.2] yields boundedness of solutions. However, Theorem 3.4 yields the exponential stability of the zero solution of (1.1). Obtaining exponential stability requires different conditions from those of [4, Theorem 3.2].

**Theorem 3.8.** Suppose B(t,s) is rd-continuous with respect to the second variable and for  $1 < \delta$ , consider the scalar non-linear Volterra integro-dynamic equation

$$x^{\Delta} = a(t)x(t) + e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0) \int_0^t B(t,s) x^{2/3}(s) \Delta s, \ t \ge 0, \ x(t) = \phi(t) \ for \ 0 \le t \le t_0,$$
(3.4)

where  $\phi(t)$  is a given bounded continuous initial function  $[0,\infty)$ , and a is a continuous function  $[0,\infty)$ . Suppose there are positive constants  $\nu, \beta_1, \beta_2$ , with  $\nu \in (0,1)$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2a(t) + \mu(t)a^{2}(t) + e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0)\mu(t)|a(t)| \int_{0}^{t} |B(t,s)|\Delta s + e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0) \int_{0}^{t} |B(t,s)|\Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} e_{\ominus\delta}(u,0)|B(u,t)|\Delta u \Big] (1+\mu(t)) \leq -1,$$
(3.5)

$$\left\{-\nu + \frac{2}{3}\left(1 + \mu(t)|a(t)| + \mu(t)\int_0^t |B(t,s)|\Delta s\right)\right\}(1 + \mu(t)) \le -\frac{1}{4},\tag{3.6}$$

$$\int_0^t \int_t^\infty e_{\ominus \delta}(u,0) |B(u,s)| \Delta u \Delta s < \infty, \ \int_0^t |B(t,s)| \Delta s < \infty,$$

$$e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0)|B(t,s)| \ge v \int_t^\infty e_{\ominus\delta}(u,0)|B(u,s)|\Delta u, \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{3}\Big(1+\mu(t)|a(t)|+\mu(t)\int_{0}^{t}|B(t,s)|\Delta s\Big)\int_{0}^{t}|B(t,s)|\Delta s \le -L(1\ominus\delta),$$
(3.8)

for some positive constant L, then the zero solution of (3.4) is uniformly exponentially asymptotically stable.

Proof. Let

$$V(t,x) = x^2(t) + \nu \int_0^t \int_t^\infty e_{\ominus \delta}(u,0) |B(u,s)| \Delta u x^2(s) \Delta s.$$

Using Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 3.2, we have along the solutions of (3.4) that

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}(t,x) &= 2x(t) \left( a(t)x(t) + e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0) \int_{0}^{t} B(t,s)x^{2/3}(s)\Delta s \right) \\ &+ \mu(t) \left( a(t)x(t) + e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0) \int_{0}^{t} B(t,s)x^{2/3}(s)\Delta s \right)^{2} \\ &- ve_{\ominus\delta}(t,0) \int_{0}^{t} |B(t,s)|x^{2}(s)\Delta s + v \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} e_{\ominus\delta}(u,0)|B(u,t)|x^{2}(t)\Delta u \\ &\leq 2a(t)x^{2}(t) + 2e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0) \int_{0}^{t} |B(t,s)| |x(t)|x^{2/3}(s)\Delta s \\ &+ \mu(t)a^{2}(t)x^{2}(t) + 2e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0)\mu(t)|a(t)| \int_{0}^{t} |B(t,s)| |x(t)|x^{2/3}(s)\Delta s \\ &+ \mu(t) \left( e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0) \int_{0}^{t} B(t,s)x^{2/3}(s)\Delta s \right)^{2} \\ &+ v \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} e_{\ominus\delta}(u,0)|B(u,t)|x^{2}(t)\Delta u - v \int_{0}^{t} e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0)|B(t,s)|x^{2}(s)\Delta s. \end{split}$$

Using the fact that  $ab \le a^2/2 + b^2/2$  for any real numbers a and b, we have

$$2e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0)\int_0^t |B(t,s)| \, |x(t)|x^{2/3}(s)\Delta s \le e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0)\int_0^t |B(t,s)|(x^2(t)+x^{4/3}(s))\Delta s.$$

Also, using Theorem 2.3, and Theorem 2.5 (i), (ii) one obtains

$$\left( \int_0^t e_{\ominus \delta}(t,0) |B(t,s)| x^{2/3}(s) \Delta s \right)^2 = \left( e_{\ominus \delta}(t,0) \int_0^t |B(t,s)|^{1/2} |B(t,s)|^{1/2} x^{2/3}(s) \Delta s \right)^2 \\ \leq e_{\ominus \delta}(t,0) \int_0^t |B(t,s)| \Delta s \int_0^t |B(t,s)| x^{4/3}(s) \Delta s.$$

A substitution of the above two inequalities into (3.9) yields

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}(t,x) &\leq \left[ 2a(t) + \mu(t)a^2(t) + e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0)\mu(t)|a(t)| \int_0^t |B(t,s)|\Delta s \right. \\ &+ \left. e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0) \int_0^t |B(t,s)|\Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty e_{\ominus\delta}(u,0)|B(u,t)|\Delta u \right] x^2(t) \\ &+ \left[ e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0) + e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0)\mu(t)|a(t)| + \mu(t)e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0) \int_0^t |B(t,s)|\Delta s \right] \int_0^t |B(t,s)|x^{4/3}(s)\Delta s \\ &- \nu \int_0^t e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0)|B(t,s)|x^2(s)\Delta s. \end{split}$$

To further simplify the above inequality we make use of Young's inequality, which says for any two nonnegative real numbers w and z, we have

$$wz \le \frac{w^e}{e} + \frac{z^f}{f}$$
, with  $1/e + 1/f = 1$ .

Thus, for e = 3/2 and f = 3, we get

$$\int_0^t |B(t,s)| x^{4/3}(s) \Delta s = \int_0^t |B(t,s)|^{1/3} |B(t,s)|^{2/3} x^{4/3}(s) \Delta s$$
  
$$\leq \int_0^t \left( \frac{|B(t,s)|}{3} + \frac{2}{3} |B(t,s)| x^2(s) \right) \Delta s.$$

Hence, the above inequality simplifies to

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}(t,x) &\leq \left[ 2a(t) + \mu(t)a^{2}(t) + e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0)\mu(t)|a(t)| \int_{0}^{t} |B(t,s)|\Delta s \right. \\ &+ e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0) \int_{0}^{t} |B(t,s)|\Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} e_{\ominus\delta}(u,0)|B(u,t)|\Delta u \right] x^{2}(t) \\ &+ \left[ -\nu + \frac{2}{3} \left( 1 + \mu(t)|a(t)| + \mu(t) \int_{0}^{t} |B(t,s)|\Delta s \right) \right] \int_{0}^{t} e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0)|B(t,s)|x^{2}(s)\Delta s \\ &+ \left. \frac{1}{3} \left( 1 + \mu(t)|a(t)| + \mu(t) \int_{0}^{t} |B(t,s)|\Delta s \right) \int_{0}^{t} |B(t,s)|\Delta s \, e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0). \end{split}$$

Multiplying and dividing the above inequality by  $1 + \mu(t)$  and then applying conditions (3.5), (3.6) and (3.8),  $\dot{V}(t,x)$  reduces to

$$\dot{V}(t,x) \leq \frac{-x^2(t) - \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t |B(t,s)| e_{\ominus \delta}(t,0) x^2(s) \Delta s - L(1 \ominus \delta) e_{\ominus \delta}(t,0)}{1 + \mu(t)}.$$

By taking  $W_1 = W_2 = W_4 = x^2(t)$ ,  $W_3 = W_5 = x^2(s)$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$ ,  $\lambda_3 = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\phi_1(t,s) = v \int_t^{\infty} e_{\ominus \delta}(u,0) |B(u,s)| \Delta u$ , and  $\phi_2(t,s) = e_{\ominus \delta}(t,0) |B(t,s)|$ , we see that conditions (3.1) and (3.2) of Theorem 3.4 are satisfied. Next we make sure condition (3.3) holds. Use (3.7) to obtain

$$W_{2}(|x|) - W_{4}(|x|) + \int_{0}^{t} (\phi_{1}(t,s)W_{3}(|x|) - \phi_{2}(t,s)W_{5}(|x(s)|))\Delta s$$
  
=  $x^{2}(t) - x^{2}(t) + \int_{0}^{t} (v \int_{t}^{\infty} e_{\ominus \delta}(u,0)|B(u,s)|\Delta u - e_{\ominus \delta}(t,0)|B(t,s)|)x^{2}(s)\Delta s \leq 0.$ 

and hence condition (3.3) is satisfied and an application of Theorem 3.4 and Remark 3.6 yield the results.  $\hfill\square$ 

The next theorem is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.4.

**Theorem 3.9.** Suppose the hypothesis of Theorem 3.4 hold except the condition  $\lambda_1$  is nondecreasing is replaced by

there exists a positive constant  $\gamma < M$  such that  $\lambda_1(t) \ge e_{\ominus \gamma}(t,0), \forall t \ge t_0 \ge 0$ ,

then the zero solution of (1.1) is exponentially asymptotically stable.

*Proof.* The proof is nearly identical to the proof of Theorem 3.4. It follows from the last inequality in the proof of Theorem 3.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} ||x|| &\leq W_1^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda_1(t_0)} \left[ \lambda_2(t_0) W_2(|\phi|) + \lambda_2(t_0) W_3(|\phi|) \int_0^{t_0} \phi_1(t_0, s) \Delta s + L \right] e_{\ominus M}(t, t_0) \right\} \\ &\leq W_1^{-1} \left\{ \left[ \lambda_2(t_0) W_2(|\phi|) + \lambda_2(t_0) W_3(|\phi|) \int_0^{t_0} \phi_1(t_0, s) \Delta s + L \right] e_{\gamma \ominus M}(t, t_0) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \ge t_0$ . This completes the proof.

*Remark* 3.10. If  $\lambda_2(t)$  is uniformly bounded for all  $t \ge 0$  and  $\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_0^t \phi_1(t, s) \Delta s \le B$ , where *B* is a constant, then the zero solution is uniformly exponentially asymptotically stable.

We assert that Theorem 3.8 can be easily generalized to handle scalar nonlinear Volterra integro-dynamic equations of the form

$$x^{\Delta} = a(t)x(t) + e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0) \int_0^t B(t,s)f(s,x(s))\Delta s,$$

where  $|f(t,x(t))| \le x^{2/3}(t) + M$ , for some positive constant *M*. For the next theorem we consider the scalar Volterra integro-dynamic equation

$$x^{\Delta}(t) = a(t)x(t) + \int_0^t B(t,s)f(s,x(s))\Delta s + g(t,x(t))$$
(3.10)

where  $t \ge 0$ ,  $x(t) = \phi(t)$  for  $0 \le t \le t_0$ ,  $\phi(t)$  is a given bounded continuous initial function, a(t) is continuous for  $t \ge 0$  and B(t,s) is is right-dense continuous for  $0 \le s \le t < \infty$ . We assume f(t,x) and g(t,x) are continuous in x and t, f(t,0) = g(t,0) = 0 and satisfy

$$|g(t,x)| \le \gamma_1(t) + \gamma_2(t) |x(t)|,$$
$$|f(t,x)| \le \gamma(t) |x(t)|,$$

where  $\gamma(t)$  and  $\gamma_2(t)$  are positive and bounded, and  $\gamma_1(t)$  is nonnegative and bounded. For the next theorem we need the identity

$$|x(t)|^{\Delta} = \frac{x(t) + x^{\sigma}(t)}{|x(t)| + |x^{\sigma}(t)|} x^{\Delta}(t).$$

Its proof can be found in [7].

We need to have the following result to prove Theorem 3.12.

**Theorem 3.11.** Assume  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  containing the origin and there exists a type I Lyapunov functional  $V : [0,\infty) \times D \to [0,\infty)$  such that for all  $(t,x) \in [0,\infty) \times D$ :

$$\lambda_1 \|x\|^p \le V(t, x),\tag{3.11}$$

$$\dot{V}(t,x) \le \frac{-\lambda_2 V(t,x) - L(\varepsilon \ominus \delta) e_{\ominus \delta}(t,0)}{1 + \varepsilon \mu(t)};$$
(3.12)

where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, p > 0, \delta > 0, L \ge 0$  are constants and  $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda_2$ . Then the zero solution of equation (1.1) is exponentially asymptotically stable.

*Proof.* For any initial time  $t_0 \ge 0$ , let *x* be the solution of (1.1) with  $x(t_0) = \phi$ . Define  $\varepsilon$  such that  $0 < \varepsilon < \min{\{\lambda_2, \delta\}}$ . Since  $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{R}^+$ ,  $e_{\varepsilon}(t, 0)$  is well defined and positive. We obtain by Theorem 2.4 (vi)

$$\begin{split} [V(t,x(t))e_{\varepsilon}(t,t_{0})]^{\Delta} &\stackrel{(2.1)}{=} \dot{V}(t,x(t))e_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma}(t,t_{0}) + \varepsilon V(t,x(t))e_{\varepsilon}(t,t_{0}), \\ &\stackrel{(3.12)}{\leq} \left(-\lambda_{2}V(t,x(t)) - L(\varepsilon \ominus \delta)e_{\ominus \delta}(t,0)\right)e_{\varepsilon}(t,t_{0}) + \varepsilon V(t,x(t))e_{\varepsilon}(t,t_{0}), \\ &= e_{\varepsilon}(t,t_{0})[\varepsilon V(t,x(t)) - \lambda_{2}V(t,x(t)) - L(\varepsilon \ominus \delta)e_{\ominus \delta}(t,0)] \\ &\leq -L(\varepsilon \ominus \delta)e_{\varepsilon}(t,t_{0})e_{\ominus \delta}(t,0) \\ &\stackrel{(2.4)}{=} -L(\varepsilon \ominus \delta)e_{\varepsilon \ominus \delta}(t,0)e_{\varepsilon}(0,t_{0}). \end{split}$$

Integrating both sides from  $t_0$  to t, we obtain from Theorem 2.5 (i), (ii)

$$\begin{aligned} V(t,x(t))e_{\varepsilon}(t,t_{0}) &\leq V(t_{0},\phi) - Le_{\varepsilon}(0,t_{0}) \int_{t_{0}}^{t} (\varepsilon \ominus \delta) e_{\varepsilon \ominus \delta}(s,0) \Delta s \\ &= V(t_{0},\phi) - Le_{\varepsilon}(0,t_{0})e_{\varepsilon \ominus \delta}(t,0) + Le_{\varepsilon}(0,t_{0})e_{\varepsilon \ominus \delta}(t_{0},0) \\ &\leq V(t_{0},\phi) + Le_{\varepsilon}(0,t_{0})e_{\varepsilon \ominus \delta}(t_{0},0) \\ &= V(t_{0},\phi) + Le_{\ominus \delta}(t,0) \\ &\leq V(t_{0},\phi) + L. \end{aligned}$$

and so by Theorem 2.5 (i) and (ii)

$$V(t, x(t)) \leq [V(t_0, \phi) + L] e_{\ominus \varepsilon}(t, t_0).$$

Using (3.11) and Theorem 2.5, we obtain

$$||x|| \leq \{\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\}^{1/p} \left[ V(t_0, \phi) + L \right]^{1/p} [e_{\ominus \varepsilon}(t, t_0)]^{1/p} \text{ for all } t \geq t_0.$$

This completes the proof.

For the next theorem we will need to compute  $|x(t)|^{\triangle}$ . For such a reference we refer the reader to either [1] or [7].

**Theorem 3.12.** Suppose there exist constants k > 1 and  $\varepsilon, \alpha$  with  $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha$  such that

$$\left[a(t) + \gamma_2(t) + k \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} |B(u,t)| \Delta u \gamma(t)\right] (1 + \varepsilon \mu(t)) \le -\alpha < 0$$

where  $k = 1 + \zeta$  for some  $\zeta > 0$ . Suppose

$$(1+\mu(t)\varepsilon)|B(t,s)| \ge \lambda \int_t^\infty |B(u,s)|\Delta u$$

where  $\lambda \geq \frac{k\alpha}{\zeta}$ ,  $0 \leq s < t \leq u < \infty$ ,

$$\int_0^{t_0} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} |B(u,s)| \Delta u \gamma(s) \Delta s \le \rho < \infty \quad \text{for all } t_0 \ge 0.$$

and for some positive constants L and  $\delta$  with  $\delta > \epsilon$ ,

$$\gamma_1(t)(1+\varepsilon\mu(t)) \leq -L(\varepsilon\ominus\delta)e_{\ominus\delta}(t,0).$$

Then the zero solution of (3.10) are exponentially asymptotically stable.

Proof. Define

$$V(t,x(.)) = |x(t)| + k \int_0^t \int_t^\infty |B(u,s)| \Delta u |f(s,x(s))| \Delta s.$$

Along the solutions of (3.10) we have

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}(t,x) &= \frac{x(t) + x^{\sigma}(t)}{|x(t)| + |x^{\sigma}(t)|} x^{\Delta}(t) + k \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} |B(u,t)| \Delta u| f(t,x(t))| - k \int_{0}^{t} |B(t,s)| |f(s,x(s))| \Delta s \\ &\leq a(t) |x(t)| + \int_{0}^{t} |B(t,s)| |f(s,x(s))| \Delta s + |g(t,x(t))| \\ &+ k \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} |B(u,t)| \Delta u| f(t,x(t))| - k \int_{0}^{t} |B(t,s)| |f(s,x(s))| \Delta s \\ &\leq \left[ a(t) + \gamma_{2}(t) + k \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} |B(u,t)| \Delta u\gamma(t) \right] |x(t)| \\ &+ (1-k) \int_{0}^{t} |B(t,s)| |f(s,x(s))| \Delta s + \gamma_{1}(t) \\ &= \left[ a(t) + \gamma_{2}(t) + k \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} |B(u,t)| \Delta u\gamma(t) \right] |x(t)| \frac{1+\mu(t)\varepsilon}{1+\mu(t)\varepsilon} \\ &- \zeta(1+\mu(t)\varepsilon) \int_{0}^{t} |B(t,s)| |f(s,x(s))| \Delta s \frac{1}{1+\mu(t)\varepsilon} + (1+\mu(t)\varepsilon)\gamma_{1}(t) \frac{1}{1+\mu(t)\varepsilon} \\ &\leq -\alpha |x(t)| \frac{1}{1+\mu(t)\varepsilon} - \zeta \lambda \int_{0}^{t} \int_{t}^{\infty} |B(u,s)| \Delta u| f(s,x(s))| \Delta s \frac{1}{1+\mu(t)\varepsilon} - \frac{L(\varepsilon \ominus \delta)e_{\ominus \delta}(t,0)}{1+\mu(t)\varepsilon} \\ &= -\alpha \left[ |x(t)| + k \int_{0}^{t} \int_{t}^{\infty} |B(u,s)| \Delta u| f(s,x(s))| \Delta s \right] \frac{1}{1+\mu(t)\varepsilon} - \frac{L(\varepsilon \ominus \delta)e_{\ominus \delta}(t,0)}{1+\mu(t)\varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{-\alpha V(t,x) - L(\varepsilon \ominus \delta)e_{\ominus \delta}(t,0)}{1+\mu(t)\varepsilon}. \end{split}$$

The results follow from Theorem 3.11.

*Remark* 3.13. If  $V(t_0, \phi)$  is uniformly bounded, then the zero solution of (3.10) is uniformly exponentially asymptotically stable.

In the next theorem we establish sufficient conditions that guarantee the boundedness of all solutions of the vector Volterra integro-dynamic equation

$$x^{\Delta} = Ax(t) + \int_0^t C(t,s)x(s)\Delta s,$$
 (3.13)

where  $t \ge 0$ ,  $x(t) = \phi(t)$  for  $0 \le t \le t_0$ ,  $\phi(t)$  is a given bounded continuous initial  $k \times 1$  vector function. Also, *A* and C(t,s) are  $k \times k$  matrix with C(t,s) being continuous on  $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$ , *x* is  $k \times 1$  vector functions that are continuous for  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ . If *D* is a matrix, then |D| means the sum of the absolute values of the elements. For what to follow we write *x* for x(t).

**Theorem 3.14.** Suppose  $C^T(t,s) = C(t,s)$ . Let I be the  $k \times k$  identity matrix. Assume there exist positive constants  $L, \nu, \xi, \beta_1, \beta_2, \lambda_3$  and  $k \times k$  positive definite constant symmetric matrix B such that

$$\left[A^{T}B + BA + \mu(t)A^{T}BA\right] \le -\xi I, \qquad (3.14)$$

$$\left[-\xi + \int_{0}^{t_{0}} |B||C(t,s)|\Delta s + \mu(t) \int_{0}^{t} |A^{T}B||C(t,s)|\Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} |C(u,t)|\Delta u\right] (1+\mu(t)\lambda_{3}) \leq -\beta_{1},$$
(3.15)

$$\left[|B| - \mathbf{v} + \mu(t) \left(|A^T B| + \int_0^t |C(t,s)| \Delta s\right)\right] (1 + \mu(t)\lambda_3) \le -\beta_2,$$
(3.16)

$$|C(t,s)| \ge \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} |C(u,s)| \Delta u, \qquad (3.17)$$

$$\int_0^t \int_t^\infty |C(u,s)| \Delta u \Delta s < \infty, \ \int_0^t |C(t,s)| \Delta s < \infty,$$

and there exists an  $r_1 \in (0,1]$  such that

$$r_1 x^T x \le x^T B x \le x^T x. \tag{3.18}$$

Then the zero solution of (3.13) is exponentially asymptotically stable.

*Proof.* Let the matrix B be defined by (3.14) and define

$$V(t,x) = x^{T}Bx + v \int_{0}^{t} \int_{t}^{\infty} |C(u,s)| \Delta ux^{2}(s) \Delta s.$$

Here  $x^T x = x^2 = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_k^2)$ . Using the product rule given in (2.1) we have along the solutions of (3.13) that

$$\dot{V}(t,x) = (x^{\Delta})^{T}Bx + (x^{\sigma})^{T}Bx^{\Delta} - \nu \int_{0}^{t} |C(t,s)|x^{2}(s)\Delta s$$

$$+ \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} |C(u,t)|\Delta ux^{2}$$

$$= (x^{\Delta})^{T}Bx + (x+\mu(t)x^{\Delta})^{T}Bx^{\Delta} - \nu \int_{0}^{t} |C(t,s)|x^{2}(s)\Delta s$$

$$+ \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} |C(u,t)|\Delta ux^{2}$$

$$= (x^{\Delta})^{T}Bx + x^{T}Bx^{\Delta} + \mu(t)(x^{\Delta})^{T}Bx^{\Delta}$$

$$- \nu \int_{0}^{t} |C(t,s)|x^{2}(s)\Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} |C(u,t)|\Delta ux^{2}$$
(3.19)

Substituting the right hand side of (3.13) for  $x^{\Delta}$  into (3.19) and making use of (3.14) we obtain

$$\dot{V}(t,x) = \left[Ax + \int_0^t C(t,s)x(s)\Delta s\right]^T Bx + x^T B \left[Ax + \int_0^t C(t,s)x(s)\Delta s\right] + \mu(t) \left[Ax + \int_0^t C(t,s)x(s)\Delta s\right]^T B \left[Ax + \int_0^t C(t,s)x(s)\Delta s\right] - \nu \int_0^t |C(t,s)|x^2(s)\Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |C(u,t)|\Delta ux^2.$$
(3.20)

By noting that the right side of (3.20) is scalar and by recalling that *B* is a symmetric matrix, expression (3.20) simplifies to

$$\dot{V}(t,x) = x^T \left( A^T B + BA + \mu(t) A^T BA \right) x + 2 \int_0^t x^T BC(t,s) x(s) \Delta s$$
  
+  $\mu(t) \left[ 2x^T A^T B \int_0^t C(t,s) x(s) \Delta s + \int_0^t x^T(s) C(t,s) \Delta s B \int_0^t C(t,s) x(s) \Delta s \right]$   
-  $\nu \int_0^t |C(t,s)| x^2(s) \Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |C(u,t)| \Delta u x^2$ 

$$\leq -\xi x^{2} + 2 \int_{0}^{t} |x^{T}||B||C(t,s)||x(s)|\Delta s$$
  
+  $\mu(t) \left[ 2 \int_{0}^{t} |x^{T}||A^{T}B||C(t,s)||x(s)|\Delta s$   
+  $\int_{0}^{t} x^{T}(s)C(t,s) B \Delta s \int_{0}^{t} C(t,s)x(s)\Delta s \right]$   
-  $\nu \int_{0}^{t} |C(t,s)|x^{2}(s)\Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\infty} |C(u,t)|\Delta ux^{2}.$  (3.21)

Next, we perform some calculations to simplify inequality (3.21).

$$2\int_{0}^{t} |x^{T}||B||C(t,s)||x(s)|\Delta s \leq \int_{0}^{t} |B||C(t,s)|(x^{2}+x^{2}(s))\Delta s,$$
  
$$2\int_{0}^{t} |x^{T}||A^{T}B||C(t,s)||x(s)|\Delta s \leq \int_{0}^{t} |A^{T}B||C(t,s)|(x^{2}+x^{2}(s))\Delta s.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{t} x^{T}(s)C(t,s)\Delta s \ B \ \int_{0}^{t} C(t,s)x(s)\Delta s \\ &\leq |B| \ |\int_{0}^{t} x^{T}(s)C(t,s)\Delta s| |\int_{0}^{t} C(t,s)x(s)\Delta s| \\ &\leq |B| \Big(\int_{0}^{t} x^{T}(s)C(t,s)\Delta s\Big)^{2} / 2 + |B| \Big(\int_{0}^{t} C(t,s)x(s)\Delta s\Big)^{2} / 2 \\ &= |B| \Big(\int_{0}^{t} C(t,s)x(s)\Delta s\Big)^{2} \\ &= |B| \Big(\int_{0}^{t} |C(t,s)|^{\frac{1}{2}} |C(t,s)|^{\frac{1}{2}} |x(s)|\Delta s\Big)^{2} \\ &\leq |B| \int_{0}^{t} |C(t,s)|\Delta s \ \int_{0}^{t} |C(t,s)|x^{2}(s)\Delta s. \end{split}$$

A substitution of the above inequalities into (3.21) yields

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}(t,x) &\leq \left[ -\xi + \int_0^t |B| |C(t,s)| \Delta s + \mu(t) \int_0^t |A^T B| |C(t,s)| \Delta s + \nu \int_{\sigma(t)}^\infty |C(u,t)| \Delta u \right] x^2 \\ &+ \left[ |B| - \nu + \mu(t) \Big( |A^T B| + |B| \int_0^t |C(t,s)| \Delta s \Big) \Big] \int_0^t |C(t,s)| x^2(s) \Delta s. \end{split}$$

Multiplying and dividing the above inequality by  $1 + \mu(t)\lambda_3$  and then applying conditions (3.15) and (3.16),  $\dot{V}(t,x)$  reduces to

$$\dot{V}(t,x) \leq \frac{-eta_1 x^2 - eta_2 \int_0^t |C(t,s)| x^2(s) \Delta s}{1 + \mu(t) \lambda_3},$$

By taking  $W_1 = r_1 x^T x$ ,  $W_2 = x^T B x$ ,  $W_4 = x^T x$ ,  $W_3 = W_5 = x^2(s)$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$  and  $\lambda_3 = \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ ,  $\phi_1(t, s) = \nu \int_t^\infty |C(u, s)| \Delta u$ , and  $\phi_2(t, s) = |C(t, s)|$ , we see that conditions

(3.1) and (3.2) of Theorem 3.4 are satisfied. Next we make sure condition (3.3) hold. Using (3.17) and (3.18) we obtain

$$W_{2}(|x|) - W_{4}(|x|) + \int_{0}^{t} (\phi_{1}(t,s)W_{3}(|x|) - \phi_{2}(t,s)W_{5}(|x(s)|)) \Delta s$$
  
=  $x^{T}Bx - x^{T}x + \int_{0}^{t} (v \int_{t}^{\infty} |C(u,s)| \Delta u - |C(t,s)|) x^{2}(s) \Delta s \leq 0.$ 

Thus condition (3.3) is satisfied with  $\gamma = 0$ . An application of Theorem 3.4 yields the results.

*Remark* 3.15. It is worth mentioning that Theorem 4.3 is new when  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ .

#### References

- [1] M. Adıvar and Y. Raffoul, Existence results for periodic solutions of integro-dynamic equations on time scales. *Annali di Mathematica*, DOI 10.1007/s1023-008-0088-z.
- [2] S. Bodine and D. A. Lutz, Exponential functions on time scales: Their asymptotic behavior and calculation. *Dynam. Systems Appl.* 12 (2003), pp 23-43.
- [3] E. Akın-Bohner, M. Bohner and F. Akın, Pachpatte inequalities on time scales. JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 6(1) (2005), pp 1-23.
- [4] E. Akın-Bohner, and Y. Raffoul, Boundeness in functional dynamic equations on time scales. Adv. Difference Equ. 2006 2006, pp 1-18.
- [5] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales: An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.
- [6] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.
- [7] M. Bohner and Y. Raffoul, Volterra Dynamic Equations on Time Scales. Preprint.
- [8] A. Peterson and Y. Raffoul, Exponential stability of dynamic equations on time scales. *Adv. Difference Equ.* **2** (2005), pp 133-144.
- [9] A. Peterson and C. C. Tisdell, Boundedness and uniqueness of solutions to dynamic equations on time scales. J. Diff. Equations Appl. 10 No. 13-15 (2004), pp 1295-1306.
- [10] C. Poetzsche, Chain rule and invariance principle on measure chains. Dynamic equations on time scales. J. Comput. Appl. Math., 141, No. 1-2 (2002), pp 249–254.
- [11] Y. Raffoul, Boundedness in nonlinear functional differential equations with applications to volterra integrodifferential. *J. Integral Equations Appl.* **16**, **No. 4**, Winter 2004.
- [12] Y. Raffoul, Boundedness in Nonlinear Differential Equations. Nonlinear Stud. 10 (2003), pp 343-350.